

# IUCN WORLD CONSERVATION CONGRESS 2025 FORUM

## **Guidelines for Reviewers**

## Tips on reviewing Forum proposals

- ✓ Before reviewing proposals assigned to you, carefully read the following:
  - <u>Guidelines for proposing an event for the Forum</u>, paying particular attention to the <u>Selection criteria</u> and <u>Forum guiding principles</u>
  - Congress Themes
  - <u>Gender Mainstreaming Strategy for IUCN events</u>
- ✓ Briefly review all proposals assigned to you before starting to grade any one proposal, in order to get a sense of the overall quality and relative merit levels across them
- ✓ Be as consistent as possible in your grading of proposals
- ✓ Be objective when reviewing proposals
- ✓ Be constructive with your feedback
- Consider the strength of the proposal based on the substance, rather than highlighting editorial or typographic issues
- ✓ Bring your professional experience and expertise to bear as you review proposals. Ask yourself:
  - Will the target audience learn something new and feel engaged?
  - How strong are the proposed objectives and outcomes of the session? What impact can this proposed session generate? How is the proposed session's initiative(s) / project(s) etc. contributing towards transformative change for nature, people and climate?
  - Would you want to attend this session or perhaps even speak in it?



## Any questions?

Don't hesitate to contact us at: <u>congressforum@iucn.org</u>

#### Access proposals assigned to you for review

- Log in to your IUCN account <u>here</u>, and click on the button "Forum: Review proposals" under the "Take Action" tab.
- 2. You will be redirected to a page with instructions on the review process:

#### **Proposal review**

Thank you for volunteering to be part of the Forum reviewers' team for the IUCN World Conservation Congress 2025. We have received many more proposals than can be accommodated in the official Forum Programme. Only with your help can we manage to review them all and select the best ones on a merit basis, following an equitable and transparent selection process.

30

Please review proposals thoroughly and without bias, using the approved selection criteria. This should take no more than 30 min for each proposal.

If you do not feel you are competent or in a position to assess a proposal's merits, or if you believe there is a Conflict of Interest, please decline your role as a reviewer for that particular proposal. The proposal will be re-assigned and you may be called upon to review another proposal instead.

In order to review proposals, we suggest that you download and save the following supporting documents:

- Guidelines for Volunteer reviewers
- Guidelines for proposing an event for the Forum
- Gender mainstreaming strategy for IUCN events

We encourage you to also familiarise yourself with the Congress themes

Please provide a score from 1 to 10 for the following questions where 10 is the maximum (best score).

1-2 | Poor – Weak or ineffective, fails to meet expectations. Significant shortcomings that hinder quality, performance, or relevance. Requires substantial improvement.

3-4 | Fair - Somewhat acceptable but below average. Contains notable weaknesses, lacks refinement, or needs significant improvement to reach a higher standard.

5-6 | Good - Meets expectations, functional and decent. Has room for improvement but is generally acceptable and performs adequately.

7-8 | Very Good – Well-developed, effective, and reliable. Demonstrates strong qualities with minor areas for enhancement. 9-10 | Excellent – Exceptional, exceeds expectations. Highly refined, impactful, and nearly flawless in execution.

Please submit your review form(s) as early as possible and NO LATER than 15 April 2025 - 23:59 (CET)



- 3. Click on "Proceed" to access the review platform
- 4. You will be directed to the review platform, where you can review proposals assigned to you

## The review platform in a nutshell



- 1. Select a session type
- 2. Select a proposal assigned to you for review
- 3. Read the proposal's content
- 4. Review the proposal and submit
- 5. Access the instructions for reviewing proposals
- 6. Click on this arrow to move to the next proposal assigned to you for review, within the selected session type
- 7. Click this button to print/save the selected proposal as a PDF

#### Start reviewing proposals

#### 1. Step 1: Select a session type:

You may have been assigned to review proposals from one or more of the following session types:

- Thematic Stream Session
- Speaker's Pitch
- Podcast

# Please ensure that you check under <u>each session type</u> for any assigned proposals

ession type	Thematic stream session			
	Thematic stream session			
	Speaker's pitch			
	Podcast			
	And a manual     And     And a manual     And     And a manual     And     And a manual     And			
	Inclusion frances and the restored soundable constant accounting for the			
	Received by			

### 2. Step 2: Select a proposal assigned to you for review

- Once you have selected a session type (step 1), you will see the list of proposals assigned to you for review for that particular session type
- You can use the 'Search' function to find a proposal by number, title, or score
- Once you select a specific proposal from the left-hand panel, the contents of the proposal will appear in the centre panel.
- Note: The progress bar only reflects the number of proposals within the selected session type.

Selected session t	type:		*	PROP-0131		Select a second type: Tour way have been compared pergoants across from analysis types Then for the second second across second across the second second second second second second second second second second second	etiti Stanon Sealon, Speller V.PAN, and menefantananak	Bining the Property
Progress		0/3				Institutings Deretts descent associat	e de la construcción de la const	
Sort by	Proposal Number	~ <b>1</b>	Uniting to conserve the w	orld's coastal ecosystems		Therease already assister Typestee's plot	HOP-ITE	
,		•	Proposal info			Propest UT	Uniting to conserve the world's coastel ecceystems	
Search	in	Proposal Numb 🗸				Seech in Provident	Propositions	Score Card
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	General - Proposed session titl	0		C hide assess	Uniting to conversible vehicle control analysis were denoted - Why attendition section?	Decline: Check this boold you have a condict of interest or find you are not competent or in a problem to essent a arrange of a metha.
	hide scored	/	Uniting to conserve the world's o	coastal ecosystems		HOP-23		REPARCE - Now relevant is the proposal to the Congress
Uniting to conser	rve the world's coastal ecosystem	ns PROP-0131	General - Why attend this sess To be updated on establishmer	ion? It of the World Coastal Forum mandated by 2020 IUCN	oring	Implements and devision of the adjustment, mit parent dates increases which includes an adjustment (black of the second parents) and the second dates and clinical charge billigation (parents) and the second dates and the second dates and the parents) and the second dates and the second dates and the parents of the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second dates and the parent dates and the second d	s hatta on a far a transfer and the second s	thereo() acknowly in program and a set of the set of t
Implication des f	ammas at filles gutochtones, des	iounes dans la			aby			REZIGNACE - To what extent does the proposal align with and contribute to the Tarum Subling Principles?
conservation et l	l'atteinte des ODD	i jourios duris lu						
		PROP-0122	General - Target Audience				powegoni on economications - bil minutes per group. 4 3 minute summary ordines	oorway new knowledge?
Biodiversity Cons	servation and Climate Change M	itigation	<ul> <li>Youth and young profession</li> <li>Professionals (36 years of</li> </ul>	onals (35 years of age or younger) age or older)				
synolgy		PROP-0094						
mBeview version 2023-04-03			General - Brief Session Descrip	tion				
			This so-minute highly interactive	e memotic session (nyona format) will engage the	d			
			dec.	stv	le			
			bre	000				
			exis	and				
			pla					
			enh	on a	of			
			coal	n, as	3			
			distance	al within IUCN. Cab and beyond, including using the WCI	Fas			

#### 3. Step 3 : Read the proposal's content

- The contents of the proposal are displayed in the centre panel
- Please review the proposal information carefully in order to complete your evaluation in the next step.

Uniting to conserv	e the world's coastal ecosystems
Proposal info	
General - Proposed se	ssion title
Uniting to conserve the	world's coastal ecosystems
General - Why attend	this session?
To be undefed on estal	
ma	
00	
co	
faced by coastal ecosy	stems in face of sea level rise etc.
General - Target Audio	ance
<ul> <li>Youth and young</li> </ul>	professionals (35 years of age or younger)
<ul> <li>Professionals (36</li> </ul>	years of age or older)
Ganaral - Priof Fassion	Description
This 90-minute highly i	internative thematic encion (hubsid (armet) will
this so manage ingrity i	
ongoing the knowledge	of participants 1.30 minute papel of 4 people
enadae the knowledge	of participants. 1. 30 minute panel of 4 people
engage the knowledge u: E:	of participants. 1.30 minute panel of 4 people
encade the knowledge u: FC	of participants. 1. 30 minute panel of 4 people
enacide the knowledge U F C C	of participants 1.30 minute panel of 4 people
enacide the knowledge U Fo C C D	of participants 1.30 minute panel of 4 people
enacide the knowledge U: FC Ci E: P Ci	interactive methods session (myoka formal) will of participants 1.30 minute panel of 4 people
enaciae the knowledge U F C C C C C	refractive difficulty session (rights donnal) of of participants 1.30 minute panel of 4 people
engage the knowledge U F C C F C C C C C	nerodove menodo session (nyoria forma) va
engage the knowledge U Fc C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	refractive tremotic session (rights formal) will of control of a people of participants 1.30 minute panel of a people
enariae the knowledge U FC C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	refractive tremotic session (rights borned of a people
encore the knowledge u; Fc c; fc fc c; fc fc fc fc fc fc fc fc fc fc fc fc fc	refractive inerrolate session (reports for the operation of a people of a peop
encade the knowledge U, FC C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	refractive trenduc session (rypola formal) will of people of a peo



## 4. Step 4: Review the proposal and submit

- Refer to the scoring scale provided.
- Use the sliders to assign a score from 1 to 10 for each question.
- Once your scoring is completed, please provide a comment to support your recommendation.
- Click on "**Save**" to submit your evaluation.

RELEVANCE - How relevant is the proposal to the Congress theme(s) selected by the proponent and how much will it contribute to enriching the Nature 2030 IUCN Programme. 1-2   Poor - Weak or ineffective, fails to meet expectations. 3-4   Fair - Somewhat acceptable but below average. 5-6   Cood - Meets expectations, functional and decent. 7-8   Very Good - Well-developed, effective, and reliable. 9-10   Excellent - Exceptional, exceeds expectations.	Please share your overall comments about the relevance, quality and / or merits of the proposal. Feel free to use this space to also explain any of your above scores on specific questions.
RELEVANCE - To what extent does the proposal align with and contribute to the Forum Guiding Principles?	
MERIT - To what extent does the proposal successfully convey new knowledge?	Rave Clear
Mont is analogoue     Instance and a set of the se	
	Versionsetsensetsenset     2       Versionsetse en en e
	ever contribute to the frame obtaining defengence?

• Once you have saved your proposal, the left panel displays the value of the overall recommendation, and the progress bar shows how many proposals you have reviewed within the selected session type

Progress			1/3	
Sort by	Proposal Number		~ <b>+</b>	
Search		in	Proposal Number	
	bide seered			
				_
Advancing and tracking	lobal river conservation to meet biodiversit	ty and clim	nate goals	Г
Advancing and tracking	lobal river conservation to meet biodiversit	ty and clin	<b>rate goals</b> PROP-0	15
Advancing and tracking Conservation Communic	Jobal river conservation to meet biodiversit	ty and clim of Hope, Ar	nate goals PROP-0 t, and Culture Drive Impact	15
Advancing and tracking ( Conservation Communic	Jobal river conservation to meet biodiversit	ty and clim of Hope, Ar	nate goals PROP-0 1, and Culture Drive Impact PROP-0	15
Advancing and tracking ; Conservation Communic How fishermen in French	Jobal river conservation to meet biodiversit ation for a New Generation: How narratives of Buiana are mobilized to reduce bycatch	ty and clim of Hope, Ar	nate goals PROP-0 t, and Culture Drive Impact PROP-0	15

#### Note. If you are unable to evaluate a proposal:

- You may not be able to evaluate a proposal if you feel it is outside your area of expertise or if there is a conflict of interest.
- You can use the "Decline" option to reject the proposal. If you do, please provide a brief explanation.
- In case you "Decline" a proposal, the letter "**C**" will appear next to the title of the corresponding proposal in the left-hand panel.

#### **Score Card**

Decline: Check this box if you have a conflict of interest or feel you are not competent or in a position to assess a proposal's merits

💾 Save

In 1-2 sentences, please explain the reason for declining to review this proposal in the comment box.